UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,508	07/27/2005	Magnus Leinemann	ZAHFRI P744US	6825
20210 DAMS & BUI	7590 07/10/2007 OLD, P.L.L.C.		EXAMINER	
112 PLEASAN	IT STREET		FRANK, RODNEY T	
CONCORD, N	H 03301	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		• .	2856	100
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		8//				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/532,508	LEINEMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney T. Frank	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (136), cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	<u>farch 2007</u> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 March 2007</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea		·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
	•					
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	nry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	l Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/532,508 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: how a measurement of differential oil pressure is related to determination of air content, air separation behavior, and surface area foam formation of oil. The claim is currently describing making differential pressure measurements, but there is no conclusion or result that can be determined based upon the current claim language. Of specific concern is the lack of relationship to the body of the claim to the claims preamble, as none of the claimed items to be "determined" are disclosed as determined in the body of the claim.

Claims 16-20, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: how the device can relate to claim 15, since claim 15 has issues that are discussed above.

4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between

Art Unit: 2856

the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: how a device is measuring any one of differential oil pressure is related to determination of air content, air separation behavior, and surface area foam formation of oil. The claim is currently describing making differential pressure measurements utilizing a Venturi tube, but there is no conclusion or result that can be determined based upon the current claim language. Of specific concern is the lack of relationship to the body of the claim to the claims preamble, as none of the claimed items to be "determined" are disclosed as determined in the body of the claim.

- 5. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: how the device can relate to a claim 21 that has issues as noted above.
- 6. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: how exactly the air content is determined. While giving a lot of method steps and procedures, there is no conclusion of anything representing air content. There is mention of air flow and differential pressures, but is the differential pressure representative of air content? Is there something else that would clearly show the air content? This is unclear from the current claim language.
- 7. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between

Application/Control Number: 10/532,508

Art Unit: 2856

the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: how air separation behavior is determined. The claim is for a method of determining air separation behavior and surface foam. The claim gives a procedure for measuring surface foam, but gives no real procedure for measuring air separation behavior.

- 8. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: how surface foam of transmission oil is determined. The claim is for a method of determining air separation behavior and surface foam. The claim gives a procedure for measuring air separation behavior, but gives no real procedure for measuring surface foam.
- 9. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: how the device can relate to a claim 27 that has issues as noted above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney T. Frank whose telephone number is (571) 272-2193. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,508

Art Unit: 2856

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RTF July 7, 2007

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

, s. Will